

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence VIA: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence FROM: DIRECTOR, Intelligence Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NOTICE FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in CLA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for additional manpower that goes because Industries and Indust for a mention in the covering letter are programs, additional money proposed for additional manpower that goes heavy launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a mention in the covering letter in several programs, additional funds for become the president is request in several programs, additional funds for become the president is request in several programs, additional funds for become the president is requ	. Ар	proved For Release 2007/0 <u>3/24 CIA-RDP89</u> M006	
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence VIA: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence FROM: Urrector, Intelligence Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an experiment procurement and IC Staff manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a	•	·	
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence FROM: Ulrector, Intelligence Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in the reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an appeal to request the several processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an appeal to request the several processing additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a			File: FY82 Appeal Lett
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence FROM: Ulrector, Intelligence Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in the reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an appeal to request the several processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an appeal to request the several processing additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a		,	
VIA: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence FROM: DIFECTOR, INTELLIGENCE Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the inclusion of the cut proposed of in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional fonds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a diditional manpower that goes for additional fonds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a diditional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a diditional funds for lackup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Co		29	June 1981
FROM: Director, Intelligence Community Staff SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an expect to additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of for additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of the court of	MEMORANDUM FO	: Director of Central Intelligence	
SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal 1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an become and the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for the committee we believe and funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an funds for a formation of the cut p	VIA:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence	
1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis Tunding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a	FROM:		
1. We are preparing your appeal to the authorization conference on the FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services committee, we believe) and funds for a limited by Senate Armed Services formatities.		, ,	
FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of the cut proposed to the programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's pro	SUBJECT:	FY 1982 Authorization Appeal	
FY 1982 NFIP budget request. The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the status and to give you a preview of the expected outcome. 2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of formation of the cut proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a formation of the cut proposed to the programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's proposal to reduce CCP's pro			
2. Status: We have received submissions from the Program Managers and are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in the sexternal analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a			
are assembling a draft that should be ready for your review by Thursday, 2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed In CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee we believe) and funds for a			
2 July. Community coordination following your review is likely to be a fairly simple matter since there is nothing contentious in the appeal. 3. Content: a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for	2. State	s: We have received submissions from the	Program Managers and
a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manapower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for the covering letter are the several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for the covering letter are the several programs, additional funds for a for additional manapower.	2 July. Comm	nity coordination following your review is	likely <u>to be</u> a fairly
a. The appeal will argue for the budget request approved by the President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for	•		appear.
President. In those instances when Congress had added resources unilaterally, we are respectfully declining them. Program Managers generally argue against cuts but tend to accept demurely unsolicited adds. b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for			
b. The appeal will consist of a covering letter for your signature and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. C. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for a formation of the cut proposed for a formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services for a formation of the cut proposed for a formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services for for formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower that goes because the formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower that goes because the formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower that goes because the formation of the cut proposed for additional manpower.	Presiden	 In those instances when Congress had ac 	lded resources
and a set of one page attachments prepared in the format which we have used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the land restoration of the cut proposed of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. C. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for	unilater generall	lly, we are respectfully declining them. argue against cuts but tend to accept den	Program Managers ourely unsolicited adds.
used for several years and which the committees have come to expect. The covering letter is designed to impart a sense of priority to selected items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed in CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. C. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for	b.	he appeal will consist of a covering lette	r for your signature
items. This year, the items of highest priority are the money for the and restoration of the cut proposed In CIA's external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for	and a setused for	of one page attachments prepared in the isseveral years and which the committees have	format which we have we come to expect. The
and restoration of the cut proposed 1n CIA'S external analysis funding. Other programmatic cuts we expect to mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a NUNG NOTICE NUNG NOTICE	covering	letter is designed to impart a sense of pr	riority to selected
mention in the covering letter are the HPSCI's proposal to reduce CCP's processing equipment procurement and IC Staff manpower. C. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for		and restoration	n of the cut proposed
c. We will request rejection of additional money proposed for acquisition of an additional manpower that goes beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for		n the covering letter are the HPSCI's prop	osal to reduce CCP's
acquisition of an beyond the President's request in several programs, additional funds for backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee, we believe) and funds for a for			·
backup launch vehicles (already eliminated by Senate Armed Services Committee we believe) and funds for a NING NOTICE CLASS COURSES	acquisit	on of an addition	al manpower that goes
NING NOTICE	backup 1	unch vehicles (already eliminated by Senat	e Armed Services
NING NOTICE	Committe	we believe) and funds for a	
Courties Courties			
Courties Courties			
Courties Courties			
Courties Courties	MINIC NOTICE		
	11. 113 COURGES		

Approved For Release 2007/03/24: CIA-RDP89M00610R000100030009-4

25X1

25X1 25X1

SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authorization Appeal

d. At the request of OMB, the letter will address some of the legislative provisions (DIA executive service, the cryptologic reserve, grants to colleges and universities, and CIA overseas benefits) assuming we receive and agree with OMB's arguments. Finally, we will request relief from some of the deadlines imposed for reports or studies and clarification of what is requested on others.

4. The conference has not yet been scheduled. Most staff members do not expect it to take place until after the summer recess. The HPSCI and SSCI staffs appear to be satisfied with the schedule we have proposed for the appeal. They have asked for informal discussions prior to our final appeal and have already been given a reconciliation spread sheet with which to work. Once signed, we will provide courtesy copies of the appeal to the HAC and SAC staffs.
5. We do not expect major problems with the appeal. There is very little at risk, in fact, and we believe we can make a strong case against the one or two proposed cuts that really matter.

25X1

2

、 Appro v éd For Rel	ease 2007/03/24 CIA-RDP89M00610R00	00100030009-4
•	SFIRE	25X1
SUBJECT: FY 1982 Authoriz	ation Annoal	
JOBOLOT. 11 1902 Addition 12	acton Appear	
DCI/ICS/CS: DCI/ICS/PBO	25Jun81) 26Jun81)	25X1
DISTRIBUTION:	7	25X1

DISTRIBUTION: Copy #1 - DCI (Orig.)
2 - DDCI
2 - DCI Regist 3 - Exec. Registry 4 - OLC 5 - D/ICS;DD/ICS 6 - D/OCS 7 - CLLS 8 - D/PBO;DD/PBO

9 - PBO Chrono 10 - PBO Subject 11 - PBO (Swider) 12 - IC Registry

2**\$**X1

Approved For Reldase 2007/03/24: CIA-RDP89M00610R000100030009-